



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/478,080	01/05/2000	WARNER R. T. TEN KATE	PHN-17-254	1177
75	90 02/14/2003			
JACK E HAKEN			EXAMINER	
U S PHILIPS CORPORATION 580 WHITE PLAINS ROAD TARRYTOWN, NY 10591			OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/478,080	TEN KATE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michael N. Opsasnick	2655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHO THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 E	December 2002 .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachmen							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and T	rademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/478,080

Art Unit: 2655

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Yuang et al</u> (IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, vol. 15, #2, 1997, pp 136-146) in view of Jain (6259677).

As per claims 1,4,5,8,9,16, <u>Yuang et al</u> teaches presenting a multimedia signal to a user and varying the presentation speed to the user dependent upon a difference delay measurement of the packet delay, and adapting the reference value (pp 144, col. 2, conclusion)

Yuang et al does not explicitly teach a time delay of approximately 240%, however, Jain (6259677) teaches a delay of up to 300% in a presentation of packet information (three delays -- 300%; col. 4 lines 55-64). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify teachings of Yuang et al with a modified delay up to 300% because it would advantageously address the problem of jitter underflow (Jain, col. 3 lines 1-6).

Application/Control Number: 09/478,080

Art Unit: 2655

As per claims 2 and 3,10,11, <u>Yuang et al</u> teaches varying the duration such that the Variance of Discontinuity is minimized (pp. 138, col. 1 line 1 up to "Source Traffic Model")

As per claims 6,7,12,13, <u>Yuang et al</u> teaches object, video signals (pg. 143, and figs. 14 and 15)

As per claims 14,15, <u>Jain</u> teaches using the timestamps to derive a delay value, and measuring a delay by the number of packets (col. 6 lines 14-53).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9, and 14-16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/478,080

Art Unit: 2655

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872 9314,

(for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT") Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Opsasnick, telephone number (703)305-4089, who is available Tuesday-Thursday, 9AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Doris To, can be reached at (703)305-4827. The facsimile phone number for this group is (703)872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group 2600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750, the 2600 Customer Service telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

mno 2/8/03

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CANTAL 2800

Page 4